


TWISTOR DIAGRAMS for Yang-Mills scattering amplitudes

Andrew Hodges

Wadham College, University of Oxford

London Mathematical Society Durham Symposium on
Twistors, Strings and Scattering Amplitudes,
20 August 2007



The tree-level scattering amplitude for four gluons, expressed in twistors. It looks like a string...

- Roger Penrose's *twistor programme*: twistor space is primary.
- Develop space-time-inspired methods.
- Conformal symmetry(-breaking) is fundamental, left-right symmetry is not.
- Free massless fields from holomorphic homogeneous cohomology elements in one twistor variable.
- **Off-shell fields from two twistor variables.**
- **Twistor-geometric regularization.**

Contour integration in several dimensions

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint f(z) \frac{dz}{z - q} = f(q)$$

Residue theorem...

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f_{-1}(\pi^{A'}) D\pi}{\pi^{A'} \alpha_{A'}} = f_{-1}(\alpha^{A'})$$

CP¹ version

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{D\pi \wedge D\sigma}{(\pi^{A'} \sigma_{A'})^2} = 1$$

NEW type of contour:
S² in CP¹ × CP¹ – CP¹

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3} \oint \frac{D^2\pi \wedge D^2\sigma}{(\pi^{A'} \sigma_{A'})^2} = 1$$

Non-projective version:
S¹ × S³

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3} \oint \frac{D^2\pi \wedge D^2\sigma}{(\pi^{A'} \sigma_{A'} - k)^2} = 1$$

A generalisation:
result is independent of k

Develop two-dimensional contours by deformation...

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint_{\pi, \sigma=0} \frac{1}{(\pi^{A'} \alpha_{A'})^2} \frac{1}{(\sigma^{B'} \beta_{B'})^2} D\pi \wedge D\sigma = \frac{1}{(\alpha_{A'} \beta^{A'})^2}$$

Contour with topology of disc,
circular *boundary*.

From spinor to twistor integrals

Generalise all this to CP^n . The *boundary* contours are fundamental. No analogue in one-dimensional contour integration. They have the effect of taking *anti-derivatives*.

Bracket factors $[\theta]_n$ defined so that $d/d\theta [\theta]_n = [\theta]_{n+1}$

$[\theta]_{-1}$ means a boundary on $\theta = 0$.

$[\theta]_0$ means $1/\theta$, with an S^1 contour round $\theta = 0$

$[\theta]_n$ means $(-1)^n n!/\theta^{n+1}$, with S^1 contour round $\theta = 0$

and $[\theta]_{-n-1}$ means $\theta^n/n!$ with boundary on $\theta = 0$.

Graphical elements: single line for simple pole, double line for double pole and so on... and wavy line for boundaries.

The spinor integral with boundary is:

$$\alpha \begin{array}{c} \pi \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \\ \sigma \\ \text{---} \end{array} \beta = \alpha \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \beta$$

Analogous twistor integral is:

$$\oint_{W_\alpha Z^\alpha=0} \frac{\Gamma(4)}{(V^\alpha W_\alpha)^4} \frac{\Gamma(4)}{(Z^\alpha U_\alpha)^4} DW \wedge DZ = \frac{\Gamma(4)}{(V^\alpha U_\alpha)^4}$$

Use black vertex for twistor variable, white vertex for dual twistor variable.

$$V \begin{array}{c} W \\ \circ \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \\ Z \\ \text{---} \end{array} U = V \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} U$$

These give the elements of *twistor diagrams*: the simplest possible elements in algebraic geometry.


Free-field twistor diagrams

A free massless field of helicity $n/2$ can be represented by 1-functions


- $f_{-n-2}(Z^a)$ of homogeneity degree $(-n-2)$
- $f'_{n-2}(W_a)$ of homogeneity degree $(n-2)$.

These are connected by *twistor transforms*, defined by these twistor diagram elements.


For $n=0$, scalar fields, the 1-functions of degree (-2) are related by:



For $n=1$, gauge fields, the 1-functions of degree 0 and (-4) are related by:



The inner product between two gauge fields f and g , giving the zeroth order scattering amplitude (for no interaction):





- simple
- finite
- conformally invariant
- model for scattering amplitudes.

Four scalar fields

Massless **scalar** fields are represented by functions of degree (-2) . The product of four such fields, integrated over space-time, gives the starting-point for all scattering amplitudes.

Guiding idea: The four simple poles are incidence relations which constrain Z_1, W_2, Z_3, W_4 to lie on a common line, which is a point in complexified Minkowski space.



Describe contour by:

$$Z_1^\alpha = (ix^{AA'} \pi_{A'}, \pi_{A'}),$$

$$Z_3^\alpha = (ix^{AA'} \sigma_{A'}, \sigma_{A'})$$

Integrate over W_2, W_4, π and σ . The result is:

$$\phi_1(x) \phi_2(x) \phi_3(x) \phi_4(x)$$

This leaves 4 more dimensions in the integration, to produce:

$$\int \phi_1(x) \phi_2(x) \phi_3(x) \phi_4(x) d^4x$$

where the contour runs over a copy of Minkowski space.

Many scalar fields

The 'box' twistor diagram represents

$$1 \cdot \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^4 p_i\right)$$

(Momentum states not needed.)

Twistor representations of

$$1 \cdot \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i\right)$$


are found by
an extension of this argument.

Conformal-symmetry-breaking numerator
factors are needed.

$$I_{\alpha\beta} X^\alpha Z^\beta, \quad I^{\alpha\beta} W_\alpha Y_\beta$$


are represented by dashed lines

Suppose




are twistor diagrams for m and n scalar fields.

Then





is a twistor diagram for $(m+n - 2)$ scalar fields.

Application to ϕ^4 theory



$$\frac{1}{S_{123}} \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^6 p_i\right), \quad S_{123} = (p_1 + p_2 + p_3)^2$$



The integrand is conformally invariant. But contours will break the conformal invariance, by having boundaries at the infinity of Minkowski space.

In fact, there are *no* contours in projective twistor space, because of infra-red divergence.



Twistor regularisation: exploit the extra dimension of twistor scale in non-projective twistor space. Change:

$$W_\alpha Z^\alpha \rightarrow W_\alpha Z^\alpha - k$$



$$I_{\alpha\beta} X^\alpha Z^\beta \rightarrow I_{\alpha\beta} X^\alpha Z^\beta - m, \quad I^{\alpha\beta} W_\alpha Y_\beta \rightarrow I^{\alpha\beta} W_\alpha Y_\beta - m$$

Scalar 1-loop integral

Similarly:




and by an extension of the argument,




This gives the full 1-loop 'box function' in '4-mass' case. For null momenta at the vertices, change from 2-twistor functions to 1-twistor functions. This is natural via Yukawa interaction diagrams.

Massless Yukawa interaction theory


ALL vertices and edges in Yukawa Feynman diagrams can be translated into twistor diagrams.




$$\frac{\langle 15 \rangle}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle} \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^5 p_i\right)$$



By a similar argument, the '0-mass' case arises as:




$$\frac{1}{2} \ln^2(S_{12}/S_{23}) \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^4 p_i\right)$$



To be studied/checked.

The intermediate cases (1-mass, 2-mass, 3-mass) can be treated similarly.

Moreover formal 3-amplitude is simple:




This is the simplest theory in which to understand twistor diagram structure.

But gauge theory offers the chance for twistor diagrams to do better than Feynman diagrams.

Pure gauge-field scattering


1970: Roger Penrose noted that for Compton scattering, both Feynman diagrams are absorbed into one gauge-invariant twistor diagram.

1990: AH noted string-geometric look of pure-gauge diagram




$$\frac{\langle 13 \rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 41 \rangle} \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^4 p_i\right)$$

2005: Formal 3-amplitude is:



$$\frac{\langle 23 \rangle^3}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle} \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 p_i\right)$$

2005: [arxiv.org/hep-th/0503060](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0503060). Britto-Cachazo-Feng-Witten recursion identified as a rule for joining twistor diagrams for the sub-amplitudes: a summation over terms of form




'Off-shell' momenta appear as *two-twistor* functions.

Practical application

All tree amplitudes can be written as twistor diagrams involving only boundaries and quadruple poles.


This graph-theoretic formalism is of practical value for streamlining the complicated algebra of BCF.

Example: One of the 20 terms for $A(1^- 2^+ 3^- 4^+ 5^- 6^+ 7^- 8^+)$, as evaluated by Britto Cachazo and Feng in 2004:




$$\frac{\langle 13 \rangle^4 [68]^4 \langle 5 | (6 + 7 + 8) | 4 \rangle^4}{S_{123} S_{678} \langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle [67] [78] \langle 1 | 2 + 3 | 4 \rangle [8 | 6 + 7 | 5 \rangle [6 | (7 + 8) (1 + 2 + 3) | 4 \rangle \langle 5 | (6 + 7 + 8) (1 + 2) | 3 \rangle} \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^8 p_i\right)$$

Collinearity and coplanarity of twistors, as defined by Witten, can be read off from these diagrams since



Collinear twistors



Coplanar twistors

Symmetries and singularity structures are transparent.

Super-diagrams


Eliminate the quadruple poles in favour of all-boundary diagrams, i.e. pure geometry?

Yes, if we define formal *super-boundaries* by changing $W.Z$ to $W.Z + \varphi.\psi$, where φ and ψ are $N=4$ anticommuting variables. The BCFW rule becomes simpler and its restriction on helicities can be dropped.

arxiv.org/hep-th/0512336.


Draw the super-boundaries as *arcs*. The formal 3-amplitudes arise as three arcs meeting at a vertex.

The complete 4-field tree-level diagram for $A(1^\pm 2^\pm 3^\pm 4^\pm)$ is



There is an alternative twistor-geometric approach which doesn't use supersymmetry.

Applied practically to efficient evaluation of all 8-field tree amplitudes for the helicity-conserved (NNMHV) sector. Still 20 diagrams, but each one can be evaluated for all 256 cases at once (70 of them non-trivial). Typically:





$$\frac{F(H)^4}{S_{123}S_{678}\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle [67][78]\langle 1|2+3|4 \rangle [8|6+7|5 \rangle [6|(7+8)(1+2+3)|4 \rangle \langle 5|(6+7+8)(1+2)|3 \rangle} \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^8 p_i\right)$$

where the 70 $F(H)$ are read off from the diagrams and tabulated in arxiv.org/hep-th/0603101

One-loop amplitudes

Put this tree-level structure together with the singularity structure found in scalar/Yukawa theories.

Conjecture: one-loop N=4 Super-Yang-Mills, conserved helicity sector, for four fields and eight fields:



Conjecture: that the simplicities of the one-loop N=4 Yang-Mills structure

- box functions are enough
- No UV, simple IR
- cut constructibility...

all flow from this structure.

Conjecture: there must be a string-like generating rule formulated directly in twistor space.

Conjecture: Gravity can be treated in the same way, with a simple 3-amplitude and an N=8 analogue.